UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,364	05/18/2005	David J Wortman	3053.151.US	6033
	7590 05/09/200 CE DELUCA + QUIG		EXAMINER	
1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER			EVANS, ERIN LINDSAY	
WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4172	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,364	WORTMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ERIN EVANS	4172
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 4172

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-29, drawn to a method of forming a thermal barrier coating (TBC) system comprising depositing a bond coat on a substrate followed by depositing a thermal insulating layer on said bond coat.

Group II, claim(s) 30-32, 40-42, 44-49, 51-54, and 56-58, drawn to a deposition apparatus comprising a depositing means.

Group III, claim(s) 33-39, 43, 50, 55, and 59, drawn to a directed vapor deposition apparatus comprising: a chamber, an evaporant source, a carrier gas, and an energetic beam.

Group IV, claim(s) 60-73, drawn to a thermal barrier coating (TBC) system comprising a substrate, a bond coat, and a thermal insulating layer.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and Groups IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all groups is the substrate with bond coat and insulating layer. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. US Patent 6,153,313 teaches the use of nickel aluminide coating systems for use on substrates such as turbines or combustors. The coating employs an intermetallic bond coat alloyed with, for instance, Ti, Ta, or Zr, and an insulating layer of zirconia stabilized with yittria, ceria, or oxides.
- 3. The inventions listed as Groups II-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: when the depositing means of group II is a directed vapor deposition apparatus, the common technical

feature in all groups is a directed vapor deposition apparatus. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. US Patent 5,534,314 teaches the use of a directed vapor deposition apparatus for coating a substrate.

- 4. The inventions of Groups I (or IV) and II (or III) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they contain no common technical features. Because group I or IV does not require a same apparatus having all the claimed features found in group II or III, it is clear that there is no features in common between these inventions.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,364

Art Unit: 4172

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 4

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 4172

Election of Species

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- a. Group A, claims 1-11, drawn to a method of forming a thermal barrier coating system comprising depositing a Ti, Ti alloy, or a combination thereof as a bond coat on a substrate, followed by depositing Zr, Zr alloy, TiN, TiC, TiN alloy, TiC alloy, or a combination thereof as a thermal insulating layer on said bond coat.
- b. Group B, claims 12-14, drawn to a method of forming a thermal barrier coating system comprising depositing a Zr, Zr alloy, or a combination thereof as a bond coat on a substrate, followed by depositing ZrC, ZrC alloy, or a combination thereof as a thermal insulating layer on said bond coat.
- c. Group C, claims 15-20, drawn to a method of forming a thermal barrier coating system comprising depositing a Nb, Nb alloy, Ta, Ta alloy, or a combination thereof as a bond coat on a substrate, followed by depositing at least one of an oxide or a carbide or a combination thereof as a thermal insulating layer on said bond coat.
- d. Group D, claims 21-24, drawn to a method of forming a thermal barrier coating system comprising depositing at least one of stainless steal, composite of stainless steal, or alloy of stainless steal, or a combination thereof as a bond coat

on a substrate, followed by depositing a thermal insulating layer on said bond coat.

- e. Group E, claims 25-29, drawn to a method of forming a thermal barrier coating system comprising depositing at least one intermetallic as a bond coat on a substrate, followed by depositing a thermal insulating layer on said bond coat.
- 9. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Art Unit: 4172

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 4172

1. Claims 1-73 are subject to a restriction requirement.

2. No claim is allowed.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ERIN EVANS whose telephone number is (571)270-

5354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am

to 5pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571)272-0579. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vickie Kim/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art

Unit 4172

/ERIN EVANS/ 29 April 2008

Examiner, Art Unit 4172

Art Unit: 4172

•